UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,406	03/26/2004	Nobuyuki Takase	3599-000004/CO	1285
	7590 05/12/200 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828			MORILLO, JANELL COMBS	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/812,406	TAKASE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

TI MAN DIO DATE COLL	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on t	
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATIC	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sam application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: ( application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11 periods:</li> </ol>	1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the</li> </ul>	no final rejection
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than \$\frac{1}{2}\$.	ction, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension ar under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>18 April 2008</u> . A brief in complia date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensince a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration	
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	,
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without claims without canceling a correspondence (d) ☐ They present additional claims without claims without claims without claims with	nding number of finally rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See a 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable in non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	f submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	and the data of filling a Nation of America will not be antoned
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before a because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was	<u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☑ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the s REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does Notes Continuation Sheet.	
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SB</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	/08) Paper No(s)
/Roy King/	/J. M./
0	Examiner, Art Unit 1793

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner previously requested in the interview on January 10, 2008 that the processing history of comparative example 16 be clarified. In the 1.132 declaration filed 4/18/2008 applicant clarified the artificial aging history of said example, but not the casting, homogenization, or working (ie extrusion) history of said example. These parameters appear important to the instant invention see for example Fig. 2 of the instant specification. The examiner suggests applicant submit a supplemental declaration clearly detailing the entire processing history (complete with casting, homogenization, extrusion details, in other words, the entire processing history) of comparative examples, and in particular, comparative example 16. This is necessary to determine if the unexpected behaviour is the result of the difference in alloy composition, or merely the difference in processing history.

The examiner points out that in order to show unexpected results with regard to an overlapping alloy composition taught by the prior art, evidence must be submitted that the alloy was processed in the substantially the same as the presently claimed alloy, or the differences must be explained, In re Finley, 174 F.2d 130, 81 USPQ 383 (CCPA 1949), and if not explained should be noted and evaluated, and if significant, explanation should be required. In re Armstrong, 280 F.2d 132, 126 USPQ 281 (CCPA 1960). See also MPEP 716.02(e).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janelle Morillo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1240. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am- 4:00 pm Mon-Wed. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.